G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1889

~~191~~ .

(GR.)

File No. 15 of 1889

Serial Nos. 1-14

Later references.

Ex 81-25 M 5-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-14

major ~~Part B~~ for May 1893.

SUBJECT.

Payment of Arack Revenue to Yelandur Jahagir

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

13

Kalibrindra napa Jamadar
of the Pallendur Jaghir
says :—

The Jaghirdar's claim is for the duty on arrack sold in the Jaghir —

There are now 3 shops in the Jaghir where arrack is sold viz Cuba — Agara — Mainhally —

These three have existed always during many years past — In 1864 & 1865 (Rakshasi Nirdhanam) there were nine shops —

The duty is claimed for the years from 1878 - 1879 —

Before 1878-79 the Jaghirdar used to receive both the license fees on the arrack shops in the Jaghir as well as the duty on the quantity of arrack sold therein — The rates of license fee were 5 Rs in Cuba and 2 Rs in Mainlaces — The licensees used to the price of the Jaghirdar himself with his signature good & the license fees were paid into the Jaghir Treasury by the license holders in Monthly instalments — The license holders used to get their supplies of arrack from the Mainhally Distillery from the Contractors who had obtained from Govt the monopoly of manufacturing arrack — From the amount paid by the license holders for such arrack

a rate of £1-4 per gallon used the
remitted & the Jaghirdar through
the Deniganalam Talook treasury
on account of duty - When arrack
was supplied to the licensed holders
Tahadaries used the spirit and
one set get duplicates of these
Tahadaries, & thereby the Jaghir
Cutchery & the Deputy accountant
into the quantity of arrack issued
for the Jaghir shops - We would thus
be enabled to check the remittances
on account of duty made & the Jaghir
treasury through the Deniganalam
Talook -

This practice continued till 1878-79
from the year in which outstills were
abolished and the Modern Distillery
System Established in 1863 -

Before 1863 I introduced an
Office order No 13 (Sayer) dated (11th Nov) marked A
11th Nov 1863 from the Dr Mysore Dist
Revenue & the Jaghir Talook accordingly
in the

(a) Establishment of stills in the Jaghir prohibited -

(b) Supply of arrack ordered & the
taken from the first contractors
Edwards & Co whose contract
was given for the period
of 5 yrs from Nov 1863

(c) The Still head duty (or arrack excise duty)
of £1-4 annas per gallon of the
Cost of Establishment is granted & the
Jaghirdar

(d) A license fee of £10 for first class, £5 —

for 2nd & the 2 for 3rd Charges as
ordered the Council of taking the
Depositions –

I herewith also copy of an
Order No 16 (Sayer) from Dr. Hooker
Relative to the Gilleenduna Estate Amalda
Dated 26th Dec 1863 in which it is
ordered that

The balance of £20 Salaries of each
Keeper Shikmi Gyendendas upon
hands shall be paid over to the
credit of Edwards Post acct for
the 1-4 per Salaries & the amounts
credited to the Depositions accounts.

The receipts on acct Darjeeling
have been

	£	a	d	
1862-63	136	14	4	for 3 months ending 30th April from May 1862 – under Amani
"	82	6	8	for 9 months Edwards' Para amount –

Nedrothpur 1863-64	333 – 11 – 10	from Govt & Licensees.
Rungkhatchi 64-65	1108 – 1 – 9	
Kurseong 65-66	978 – 2 – 4	
Darjeeling 66-67	944 – 9 – 10	
Kurseong 67-68	805 – 10 – 3	
Darjeeling 68-69	420 – 4 – 11	
Rungkhatchi 69-70	572 – 8 – 9	
Kurseong 70-71	507 – 13 – 3	
Darjeeling 71-72	448 – 3 – 3	
Darjeeling 72-73	657 – 6 – –	
Rungkhatchi 73-74	635 – 7 – –	
Kurseong 74-75	602 – 13 – 4	
Darjeeling 75-76	106 – 2 – –	
Kurseong 76-77	891 – 9 – 3	
Darjeeling 77-78	182 – 4 – –	
Rungkhatchi 78-79	21 – 8 – –	
Pharnatar 79-80	" – " –	
Kurseong 80-81	" – " –	
Darjeeling 81-82	8 – – –	

Chittrakutham	82-83	20 —
Vrathem	83-84	42
Varana	84-85	106 —
Rathna	85-86	109 —
Varja	86-87	88 —
Sawajja	87-88	—

The moneys received up to 1878-79 as above mentioned include license fees - I write to ask into the amounts and submit a statement showing how much of the aforesaid amounts are license fees & how much duty - and how that duty was calculated & what deductions were made for the establishment & other charges - The Commissioner wants of Still Head duty used to be realized sometimes direct from the Contractors & sometimes through the Zamindaris - I shall try & see whether the details can be ascertained & furnished -

In 1878-79 the Abkari credited drugs under each of the previous year - In 1878-79 the Department stopped payment & the Zamindaris - Receipts in 1878-87 began - No sales - The reason of this I do not know - I shall ascertain & state -

Before 1863-64 it rose as follows:-

For the 4 years 1840-41 to 1843-44 (Saivari & Sobhanadri) the right of distilling & vending arack was given out on Kaiat the receipts being as follows:- 1840-41 172-6½ (Saivari)
41-42 181-6½ (Varana)
42-43 199-6½ (Sobhanadri)
43-44 234-7½ (Sobhanadri)

From 1844-45 the annual
the abstract of income cost from the
Gurtolies under Kulenayaka's ar-
-rangement - 4-1-2 was the
income for both taluk - 4-4- was
both was the collection - Profit
Rs 4 was the credited taluk G-
The amounts have been as follows-

Kuvadi	1844-45	~~225~~ - 4 - 78
Kovaru	45-46	8 - add
Devathanu	46-47	7 - 3 add
Mavaye	47-~~48~~	2 - 8 add
Kulaka	48-49	27 - 5 add
Sowmye	49-50	120 - 7 add
Sathanaiu	50-51	100 - 7 add
Kuvathitaki	51-52	173 - 5 add
Pandhari	52-53	~~180 - 8 add~~
Gaiamarthe	53-54	R a 542 - 2 add
Ananda	54-55	576 - 1
Nakshasa	55-56	522 - 2-3
Kale	56-57	400
Pingala	57-58	373-5-6 Rs 4 deducted
~~Kalayukthi~~	~~58-59~~	~~187~~
~~Subhanu~~	~~59-60~~	

From 1858 the annual used the
abstract from Gurus of Kalkhally Kankana
& the accounts were as follows:-

		R - a
Kalayukthi	1858-59	187 - 11 -
Subhanu	59-60	66 - 7 - 5
Kuvathi	60-61	92 - 9 - 8
Dhanmathi	61-62	109 - 14 - 2

For 1862-63 Messrs Edwards & Ozanna
Came in & the accounts have always
been given -

To Mr Gurus of Kalkhally we used

Spray 4-4-0 per bottle - The selling
price 4-5-0 So the net
profit was 4-1-0 per bottle -

From Wrights Days we used
Light 1-4-0 per gallon as duty - Also
Also Licence fees from Retail vendors -
They ~~did~~ took the profits - ~~The selling prices~~

The factory was under
Govt Manager from (Salvation) Victoria 1839-1840
to Victoria 1860-69 When it was
handed over to the Laytonders -

Wm Cook

Produce statement showing details
Licence fees & Duty for the credits allowed -

Inspected C

20 Oct 92

B

[illegible]

১	১৯-২০	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	১
২	২০-২১	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	২
৩	২১-২২	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৩
৪	২২-২৩	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৪
৫	২৩-২৪	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৫
৬	২৪-২৫	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৬
৭	২৫-২৬	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৭
৮	২৬-২৭	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৮
৯	২৭-২৮	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	৯
১০	২৮-২৯	ডাক্তার	ডাক্তার	৪	৩	৬	৫	৩	১	১৯৫৬	১০

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
·	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
·	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
·	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	·	
·	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	·	
·	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
·	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	·	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	·	[illegible]
[illegible]	·	·	[illegible]	[illegible]	·	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

૧૨	૨૬–૨૭	૫૦૦૦૦	૧૫૦૦૦૦

| | | |

General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN REMEMBRANCER
9602
18 FEB 90
1137

1889

From R. Ahmed Khan Bahadur
Jaghirdar of Yelandur

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Section
File No 150
Serial No 1

Dated 17 February 1890.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No

Soliciting early settlement of the payment of Arrack Revenue due by Yelandur Jaghir.

Office of the Jaghirdar of
Yelandúr.
15th February 1890.

Krishna Murti Esquire
Jaghirdár of Yelandúr

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith, [illegible] lists of the accompanying papers, to be laid before the Dewan for his favorable consideration.

The subject matter related to the payment of Arrack Revenue due to the Yelandúr Jaghir which as the Dewan is aware, and as stated in Colonel [illegible]ay's letter No 1111 of the 16th September 1875, has been always credited to the Jaghir revenues. The usual procedure of obtaining the refund was interrupted by the Deputy Accountant General prohibiting the payment of money till the sanction of the Chief Commissioner was received. I am not aware what acts in the late Commissioner of Akkalkot case and the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore took in pursuance of the Deputy Accountant General's direc

*: from the Dy: Commissioner of Mysore to the Commissioner of Arkalkot dated 27th
2. No. 1111 from Dy: Commissioner to Commissioner dated the 16th September 1875.
3. From the Dy: Commissioner to Commissioner No 1574 dated 2
4. No 2447 of the 14th Sept: from the Dy: Accountant General to the Dy: Com

[illegible] matters where required
settlement in connection with Jaghir
engaged my attention and to my last
letter to the Mysore Deputy Commissioner
enquiring whether a reference in reply
to the Communication from the
Deputy Accountant General was
made to that officer a reply has been
received in the negative.

Correct figures of consumption
of arrack in the Jaghir are available
in the Excise Department and I
beg that the Dewan may be kindly
pleased to sanction the refund of
the amount due to me.

Soliciting the favor of an
early settlement.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Williamson

Jaghirdar of
Yelandur.

Dr

From,

The Hyr: Commrr. of Mysore

To

The Commissioner Ashtagram

Divn.

Dated 24th August 1875

Sir,

1- In submitting copy of a letter No of the addressed to me by Srs. Krishna Murthi Jagheer-dar of Yellandur. I have the honor to request that I may be favored with instructions with respect to his application to be permitted to purchase liquor direct from the Arrack Contractors at Pilhulli for consumption in his Taluk.-

2- Although he is a Jaghiredar in a similar position with the Jaghiredar of Sivasamoodrum and other Inam-dars &c in consideration of a whole Taluk having been granted to him he has been treated with greater consideration and has hitherto been enjoyed the full revenue from Arracks differing him from other Inamdars who render the ruling of

are considered not entitled to it

- The new System of Sale Brenders
it difficult to make any other
arrangement than that which he
proposes. The liquor which would
be thus passed out of the Distillery
under a free pass from the Store-
Keeper would be saleable only
within the limits of the Division
and at the same price as determined
by the Cost of the District.

(Signed). W. Hill.

Copy Memorandum

No 1111-

Ashtagram Commissioners Office
Dated Mysore 16th September
1875

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore -

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge
receipt of your letter No 950 forwarding
an application from the Jaghirdar
of Yelandur for permission to purchase
the liquor at Palhulli at Cost price
13 annas per gallon - and in reply
to state that under the terms of Clause
II and III of the Contract Bond executed
by Messrs Arathoon and Co the exclusive
right of purchase from the Company
of all Spirits which may be manufac-
-tured by them for sale in the Mysore
District, which of course includes
Yelandur is vested in Government -
The necessary instructions should therefore
be issued to the Government Store -
- Keeper at Palhulli to supply the
Jaghirdar with liquor at Cost price
(13 annas) as proposed by you, in
consideration of his having enjoyed
the full Revenue from this source
both prior and subsequent to the
introduction of the Sudder Distillery

System

system - A separate account should be opened for the supply so made and the Jaghirdar should enter into an agreement with Government that the liquor so purchased would be sold only within the limits of the Jaghir and at the same price as is determined for the rest of the District - He should also pay a portion of the cost of the present establishment employed by Government at Palkulli -

In replying on this point I request that you will state whether the Still head duty levied at Palkulli on liquor taken for consumption within the limits of the Jaghir up to the date on which Mepra Brathwaite & Co entered into agreement with Government for the monopoly of supplying the Article at 13 annas per gallon was remitted to the Jaghirdar -

I have &c

(Signed) - A. C. Hay.

Commissioner Nellore Divn

"Copy"

(Signed) - Mahomed Ali

Deputy Commissioner

Coimbatore District.

Copy

Collectorate

Asst: Commissioners Office
Hoshiarpur District
Camp Hoshiarpur 26 January
1876

To

The Commissioner
Ahluwalia Division

Sir,

In Reply to your letter No 1111 of the 16th September 1875, I have the honor to submit Copy of the Jaghirdars' report No 218 of the 25th ultimo in which he states his willingness to pay a proportionate Cost of the Establishment at Phillaur if the same is insisted upon - It would come to about Rs 32 as the quantity sold to the Jaghirdar in 1874-75 was 297 gallons compared with 21220 gallons consumed in the District and the Cost of the Establishment was Rs 2304 - He binds himself not to sell any liquor out of the Jaghir and to sell the liquor in the Jaghir at the same rate as in other Talukas in the District.

The Still head duty on arrack sold to the Jaghirdar has been remitted hitherto and only the Cost price charged - During however

to the delay consequent on the C.
Correspondence the Requisitions have
been trifling - since the Company have
supplied the liquor -

I have &c.

(Signed) W. Hill

Dy: Comm[r]

Copy

(Signed) W. Hill -

Deputy Comm[r]

Copy

From

The Hy: Asst. General

in Mysore -

To

The Hy: Commrs.

of Mysore -

Dated 14th April -

General No 247

With reference to the Deputy Commrs. No 16 of the 7th instant, the undersigned has the honor to request that all future payments to the Jagheerdar of Yellandoor on account of Spirits sold in his Jaghier may be prohibited till the sanction of the Chief Commissioner has been obtained, agreeably to the instructions in para 2 of this office No 270 of the 24th August last.

By order

(Signed) - T. Clarke

Chief Apl. to Hy a

General & Com

Copy

Millers Guards

Dy! Commissioners Office
Cawnpore 19 Apl.

No 83.

Copy forwarded to the Jaghirdar of Yellandur for information -

(Signed) C. J. Pearse -
Dy! Comm.r

(General Serial No. 30.)

188

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Sir,

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

From

3

To

The Comptroller

LR
15 Jan 1889
3

S63

Dated 4 Jan 90
Despatched 8 Jan 90
Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 9578
No. 608

Forwards for inquiry copy of letter (Regd No 9402 of 15th Inst) addressed by the Shipowners Association to the Treasury claiming payment on account of arrears of the Japanese & Spanish Claims payments used to be made at any time, & also for what period & at what rates and how calculated, and why discontinued.

4/3

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE
218
15 APR. 90
DEWAN OF MYSORE

Section LR
File No 15 of 1889
Serial No 4

From 188 By Commissioner Mysore with 4

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched 14th April
Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 26
No.

With reference your letter 574 dated 6/4 I have requested the Sahukar to pay the arrack, &c

Has me to submit correspondence of the above

References as 15/4/90

Cor Vol

Ad 5-5-90

Ad 5/5/90

Remitted A1 13/5/90

Vide Comptroller's No. 479 of 9 May Letter 15 May 90

A2 8-5-90 LR 2-8-90 B1 12-5-90 LR 6-5-90 A3 16-4-90

No. 26.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to your letter No. 9077 dated 4th ultimo, forwarding for examination and report a copy of a letter addressed to the Dewan by the Jaghirdar of Yellandoor claiming payment of the Arrack revenue denied from his Jagheer, to forward the correspondence in the margin. The Deputy Accountant General on the 14th of April 1877. prohibited till the sanction of the Chief Commissioner had been obtained, all future payments to the Jaghirdar of Yellandoor on account of Spirits sold.

On the 27th August 1875. the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore asked for instructions with respect to the Jaghirdar's application, to be permitted to purchase liquor direct from Arrack Contractors at Palhully for consumption in his

No 950 d/24-8-75 from Dy Comr Mysore to Comr Arcot
" 1111 d/16-9-75 from Comr Salem to Dy Comr Mysore
" 1504 d/26-1-76 from Dy Comr Mysore to Dy Comr
" 244 d/14-4-77 from Dy Secretary to Dy Comr

Talore. The Deputy Commissioner, Colonel Hill, stated, that although a Jaghirdar he is in addition to the Jaghirdar of Sivasamoodrum and other Inamdars, yet in consideration of a whole Taluq having been granted to his forefathers, he, (the Yellundoor Jaghirdar) has been treated with greater consideration and has hitherto enjoyed the full revenue from arrack, differing therein from other Inamdars who under the ruling of the Chief Commissioner, are not entitled to it. To this letter on the 16th September, the Commissioner Colonel Hay, replied "The necessary instructions should be issued to the Government Shop keepers at Pallelly to supply the Jaghirdar with liquor at Cost price as proposed by you, in consideration of his having enjoyed the full revenue from this source both prior and subsequent to the introduction of the Sudder distillery System"

As regards the last para of your letter, assuming that the circumstances of the case the Sivasamoodrum Jaghirdar to which analogy is claimed should be reported. It is evident from the part of Col: Hill's letters above quoted that the Jaghirdar of Yellundoor was in this matter entitled to Special

The Sivasamoodrum Jaghirdar do enjoy the right to arrack revenue.

I cannot find that after the prohibiting order of the Deputy Accountant General that the Chief Commissioner was addressed on the subject, and what is more extraordinary, that the Jaghirdar does not seem to have moved in any way till the other day (13 years after the order) in the matter.

I have the honor to be

Sir

your most obed.t Servant.

Thomas Lattey

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

:el:

Dy. Comm'rs Office
Dimpore District
Dated 27th August/75



To,

The Commissioner
Ashm: Dn:

Sir

In submitting copy of of a letter No: 160 of the 26th Instant addressed to me by Srs: Krishna Murti Jagheer: dar of Gyllandur I have the honor to request that I may be favored with instruc: tions with respect to his application to be permitted to purchase liquor direct from the Arriack Contractors at Palhalli for consumption in his Taluke.

Altho' he is a Jaghir: dar he is in a similar position with the Jaghirdar of Sivasamundra and other Enamdars

Enamdars yet on consideration of a whole Valook having been granted to this Petitioner he has been treated with greater consideration and has hitherto enjoyed the full revenue from arrack, differing thus from other Enamdars who under the ruling of the Chief Commr are not entitled to it.

Chief Comrs letter No. 841 dated 27th June 1864 and No 1184/57 of 178 July 1866.
Recent ruling Chief Comrs proceeding No 3697–30 of 8th October 1873 Kasa 4

— The new system of sale renders it difficult to make any other arrangement than that which he proposes. The liquor which would be thus passed out of the distillery under a free pass from the Shop-keeper would be saleable only within the limits of the Jaghire and at the same price as determined for

for the rest of the district.

I have &c.

"Draft"

Dr Lomas.

18/5 Reg No 950

10.9.75.

Re Commissioners Telegram Dr

Dated 10th Sept

No 950.

Requesting instructions regarding the shipment of Whiskey under bond for which bonds have been given direct from the Walhalla Co Jail

No. 944

From The Governor Ashore

To The Officer Commanding Impose

Dated 16th September

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1111 } 1875

Ship

Under the circumstances represented I request to issue instructions to the Storekeeper at Pallud to supply the Inhabitants of Gelicut with liquor at Retail [illegible]

In reply to your letter No ___ of ___
I have the honour to submit copy
of the Sapindar's report on
the ___ in which he states his
willingness to buy a proportionate
out of the establishment at
Ron Challi if the same is in existence
upon. It would come to Rs about
Rs 32 — as the quantity sold to
the Sapindar in 1874/75 was 297
gallons compared with 2,220 gallons
consumed in the District and the
cost of the establishment was Rs 2,364-
He finds himself
He agrees not to sell any
liquors out of the jaghir and to shut the
liquor in the jaghir at the same
rate as in the Talooks in the District

Adjutant General's Office
Dated Seringapatam 16th September 1799

To Dy. Commissioner
Seringapatam

ection
ile No
erial No

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your Letter No. 950 forwarding an application from the Jaghirdar of Yellimoor for permission to purchase the liquor at Sultanbully at cost price 13 annas per gallon - and in reply to state that under the terms of clauses II & III of the Contract Bond executed by Messrs Arathoon and Co., the exclusive right of purchase from the Company of all spirits which may be manufactured by them for sale in the Seringapatam Districts, which of course includes Yellimoor is vested in Government - The necessary instructions should therefore be issued to the Government Store-Keeper at Sultanbully to supply the Jaghirdar with liquor at cost price (13 annas) as proposed by you in consideration of his having enjoyed the full Revenue from this source both prior and subsequent to the introduction of the Arrack Distillery System. A separate account should be opened for the supply so as

and the Jaghirdar should enter into an agreement with Government that the liquor so purchased would be sold only within the limits of the Jaghire and at the same price as is determined for the rest of the District. He should also pay a portion of the cost of the present establishment employed by Government at Salimly.

In reporting on this point I request that you will state whether the still head duty levied at Salimly on liquor taken for consumption within the limits of the Jaghire up to the date on which Messrs Arathoon & Co entered into agreement with Government for the monopoly of supplying the article at 13 annas per gallon was remitted to the Jaghirdar.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant

Abbay

Commissioner &c &c

Section
No
Serial No

To. The Commissioner
Ashee Do

Sir,

In reply to your
letter No 1111 of the 16th Sept 73
I have the honor to
submit copy of the
Jaghirdars report
No 218 of the 25th Ultimo
in which he states his
willingness to pay a
proportionate cost of
establishment at Bal-
-lully if the same is
insisted upon. It would
come to about Rs 32
as the quantity sold to
the Jaghirdar in 1874/75
was 297 Gallons com-
-pared with 21220
Gallons consumed
in the District and
the cost of the establish-
-ment was Rs 2304.
He binds himself

not to sell any liquor
out of the Factories and
to sell the liquor in
the Factories at the
same rates as in
other Villages in the
District.

The Stills heads &c. lately marked sold to the Lazaretto
have been remitted
hither ~~in the ark of Barack in order to the Distillery~~
to us only
the cost price charged &
Owing however to
the delay consequent
on the correspondence
have been trifling
since the Company have
supplied the liquors.

I have &c

Dy Collr

Section

File No

Serial No

Gl Reg. No 1504

26-1-76

Reference

Bridges

Dated 26-1-76

Ex 1

Gl Reg No 1504

Submits the Collectors Inspection report expressing his willingness to bear the proportionate cost of the established at Kutkakalli Stone, where from his Inspection is applied with Regis

26-2-76 Mr Bridges

for W. Jagannadha Iyengar and the same referred to

19/4/77

Copy sent

Fr

The Deputy Accountant General
in Mysore.

To The Deputy Commissioner
of Nimpore

Dated 4th
Despatched } April
Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 247 } 187
No. 7

With reference to the Deputy Commissioner's No. 16 of the 7th Instant, the undersigned has the honor to request that all future payments

6

to expend any sum or sums of Public Money on account of Public Work in this Jaghir, may be procured until the sanction of the Chief Commissioner has been obtained, agreeably to the instructions contained in para 2 of this Office No 2709 of the 21st ultimo.

August last.

By Order

H. Hunter

Chief Assistant to Deputy Accountant General of Bengal.

(General Serial No. 30.)

983
9 MAY 90
55
DEWAN OF ... OF

189

From The Comptroller of Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 9th

Despatched 9th May

Received

Enclosures No. 19

General No. 479
No.

Stating that no payments to the Jaffna Collector of Vellundurs account of arrack revenue are recorded in the books of this office up to date.

Rd & to the Dewan for orders.

The Jaffna Land Claims payments of arrack revenue. The amounts of payments & can be ascertained only from the Collr's Books. But this is a matter of detail somewhat outside the question of title, Parties in the actual cases of Vivd Jaffna are entitled

Dft 15 Aug 90

Have no objection.

Records
Putt all
References
as
9/5/90

Section Lis SR
File No 15-1889
Serial No 5

Putt all
References
as
13/5/90

Memo Dr Court in regard to Nos 2 & 16 about to abscond. Jaffna is very meagre in the personalities put forward in the final order upon the case & the papers of the Vivd Jaffna is posted. Please have it & the papers bearing upon it be turned up & put as early as possible
Dft 15/5

Rd & Office
15/5

Current Records
Putt up
References
called for
Dft 17/5/90

A1 11-7-90
A1 19-6-90 A1 17-6-90 A1 6-6-90 A1 19-5-90 31 13-5-90

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 9th *May* 1890.

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, ESQ.,

Comptroller of Mysore.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 9 MAY 90 MYSORE

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 9578/608 dated 4th March 1890, I have the honor to state that no payments to the Jagheerdar of Gellandur on account of Arrack Revenues are recorded in the Books of this office, up to date.

2 In December 1875 it was discovered that the Jagheerdar was paid for the Spirits supplied by the Palhally Distillery and sold in the Jaghеer, by a discrepancy which appeared between the credit entered in the Mysore District Treasury account for October 1875 on account of Still head duty and the amount entered in the statement of spirits sold to Vendors furnished by the Deputy Commissioner Mysore for that month. On 8th January 1876 this office called for the authority under which the payments were made. But notwithstanding repeated reminders no explanation was furnished till 12th July 1876 when the Deputy Commissioner forwarded Copy of Mr. Krishnamurti's letter No. 290 dated 31st March 1876. It was stated in that letter that there was a Still in the Jagheer from

from one memorial and when the Sudder Distillery was established in Palkalli, the revenue from the Still head duty and the sale of liquor in the Jaghier was allowed to be credited to the Jaghier Revenue, to compensate the Jaghieer and that the same system had been kept up and there was no change in the procedure newly introduced. This office then remarked in No. 2059 dated 17th July 1876 that no authority had been referred to in the letter. The Deputy Commissioner then desired, in his No. 886 dated 10th August, that this office should obtain the orders of Government as regards past payments and "for future guidance". The Deputy Commissioner was asked in this office No. 2709 dated 24th idem to submit the matter to Chief Commissioner for his sanction and not to make any payments till such sanction was received. But payments were continued and this office again repeatedly asked Deputy Commissioner whether sanction had been obtained. On 7th April 1877 the Deputy Commissioner replied that the Commissioner of Ashtagram had been requested to apply for the sanction and on the receipt of this reply the Deputy Commissioner was prohibited (vide No. 247-14th April 1877) from making the payments till such sanction was obtained. A reminder was also sent on 2nd August 1877 but it was not answered and the matter was then allowed to drop. It will be thus seen that there is no record

of

of any authorised payments and that such as were made took place not only without authority but without the knowledge of this office in the first instance and were repeated contrary to its reiterated orders.

3 The correspondence which took place in this office on the subject, as noted in the margin, are submitted in original for information and kind return when done with.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
N. Eagle
for Comptroller

No 4073 dated 18th November 1875 from Deputy Accountant General to Deputy Commissioner Mysore.
No 2204 dated 30th November 1875 from Deputy Commissioner Mysore.
No 4386 dated 6th December 1875 to Treasury Officer Mysore.
No 274 dated 20th December 1875 from Treasury officer do
No 4760 dated 8th January 1876 to Deputy Commissioner Mysore
No 5754 " 7th March 1876 to
do do
No 429 " 28th April 1876 to
do do
No 1703 " 30th June 1876 to
do do
No 728 " 14th July 1876 from
do do
No 2059 " 17th do to
do do
No 886 " 10th August 1876 from
do do
No 2709 " 24th " to
do do
No 4046 " 6th November 1876 to
do do
No 4552 " 4th December 1876 to
do do
No 5216 " 12th January 1877 to
do do
No 5655 " 6th February 1877 to
do do
No 164 " 7th March 1877 from
do do
No 247 " 14th " to
do do
No 2447 " 2nd August 1877 to
do do

Asst. D. Pay. Mr.

No. 5216

1877

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore

Dated 12th

Despatched January

Received

ENCLOSURES. Nil

This office No. 4046 of the 6th November last.

The undersigned has the honor to request an early reply to the above —

Yrs

Dy A.G

HB.PD

No. 4552

1876.

From

The Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Dy: Comm.r Inyeon

Dated 4th

Despatched December

Received

ENCLOSURES. Nil

Requested early attention to this office No.a 4046 dated the 6th ultimo regarding payments made to the Sabaghers of Pellendus on acct. of Spirits sold in his Sabaghers—

Dag

No. 4046

1876.

From

The Deputy Accountant General

To The Dy. Commissioner Mysore

Dated 6th November

Despatched 8. November

Received

ENCLOSURES. bill

From the Statement submitted by the Dy. Commissioner shewing the quantity of liquor sold to Vendors during the months of August & September last, it appears that (Vide margin) payments have continue to be made

to the Jaghirdar of
Yellundur on account
of Spirits sold in
his Jaghir—
Requests to be in-
formed whether these
payments have
been made on the
Sanction of the
Ch: Commr & as
stated in para
2 of this office
No 2709 of the
24th August last,
& if so a Copy of
the Sanction is
to be sent — Early
attention is requested

Sd/

No. 2709 — 1876

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To Despatches Hyde

Dated 24

Despatched

Received 3 August

ENCLOSURES.

In reply to the Despatches, Docket No. 886 of the 10th Instant, the undersigned has the honor to state that so far as he is able to ascertain from the accounts in this Office, no payment has been charged on account

of Spirits Sold in the Mauritius Japhia

for 1874 to 1876 inclusive

2 Dispatches & Enclosures

The Secretary of State for the Colonies

Great Seal bill without the Governor's sanction

no further payment should be made

If the Despatches

accompanied

seem framed for the claimants

if the Legislature

he should submit it for

orders thro' the Governor

but the Despatch remarks

that if the liquor sold in

the Yellowstone Territory is

Govt. cannot the Govt.

(to be prevented by a duty being levied)

the Legislature) [illegible] power be entitled to [illegible]

[illegible]

accompanied for the Govt.
out of the sale proceeds that
may have been realized
by the Legislature

[illegible]

Diary No 3229

Abt 10/

From the Dept

To the Dept

Section

No.

Dated 10 August

No.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 886

No. 187

His docket No 2059 of the 17th instant regarding the claim of the Pallimoor Jagirdar on the amount of Spirits sold in his Jagir.

"

In reply has the honor

Revenue Dept. 21-8-76

or to state that neither the Gazetteer nor the records of this Office can furnish any more direct authority now extant, and therefore to request that the sanction of the Chief Commissioner may be obtained for what is past and orders for future guidance Communicated.

Wm. H.

for Deputy Commissioner

Fr. 2

The Dy Steel Gen[l] of Ellyd[e]

To

The Dy. Comm[r] Mylne

Dated 17th "

Despatched July

Received

ENCLOSURES NO. Nil

General No. 2059 / No. 187 6-77

In acknowledging receipt of the Dy. Comm[r] Gen[l]'s 7289 of the 14th instant has the honor to remark that no authority has been produced or quoted in support of the payments made to the Inspectors of Yellendua stone

Ellyd[e] Fac. 88 67 / Letter Register 1876

the Sale of Spirit
in the Jurisdiction
of the Chief
Commissioner Abbottabad
and the conduct
of persons who
shall be employed in the Sale
Commissioner shall be
so good as to
refer him to any
such authority
as he may be entitled

Revenue Dept. Vol. 57 1876

Revenue Dept. Vol. 57

1876

From The Dy Comr Mysore &c

To The Dy Asstt Genl of Mysore Bangalore

Dated 14

Despatched July

Received

Enclosures No 1

General No. 728 } 187
No.

His docket No: 4760 of 8th January last and subsequent reminders.

"

With reference to the above has the honor to forward copy of letter No. 290 of 31st March last from the Jaglirdar of Yellendur

Explaining

"

explaining the circumstances
under which he is entitled
to still head duty

Dy: Commissioner
Mysore Dist

Forwarded

No. 5655

1877.

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Dy. Comm^r Inv^d

Dated 6th "

Despatched February

Received

ENCLOSURES

I be undersigned has the honor to request, for the 2nd time, early attention to this office No. 4046 of the 6th November last, regarding payments made to the Jahaygheerdar of Yellendoor on Acct. of Spirits sold in his Jahaghier

[illegible] Daly

Ref No 243

A & D 1877 Pol Dept No 2

From The Dy. Commr Impore

To The Dy: Acct. General in Impore

Dated 6 April

Despatched

Received

O.A.G.'s OFFICE 9 APR 77

Enclosures No:

General No. 16 } 187

No. }

His docket etc. 4552 of the 4th December 1876.

In reply has the honor to inform that as desired in para 2 of his etc. 2709 of 24th August 1876, the Commissioner has been requested to obtain the Chief Commissioner's sanction to the payment

Initd

made to the Jagheerdar of Tezz
on account of spirits sold in
Jaghier.

Clive

Vide _

No. 24 / 1877

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Dy: Commissioner of Allyghur

Dated 14th April

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to the Dy: Commissioner's No. 16 of the 7th Instant, the undersigned has the honor to request that all future payments to the Zaghirdars of Yellendur on account of Spirits sold

on his Justice &
Re. ~~to~~ Bill the cause
of the Bh: Com
had been ~~struck~~ taken
up ~~by mistake~~
~~to be struck~~ out
Para 2 of
this office No.
2709 of the
24th August
Last.—

By Order

Calcutta,

Act 2 Colo 1865

No. 2467 1877.

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Dy: Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 2nd

Despatched

Received August.

ENCLOSURES. Nil

With reference to the Dy: Commissioner's No. 16 of the 7th April last, the undersigned has the honor to enquire whether the sanction of the Chief Commissioner for the payments made to the Jaghirdar of Yellandur on account of Peshkash

Sold

Sold in his
has been
& if so requests that
a copy of the same
tion may be for-
warded to this Office
of Post Office
Department
PS

290. Yelandur Jaghirdar's Office.

From The Jaghirdar of Yelandur

To, The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated Camp Marahalli 31 March 1876

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your docket No 2584 of the 31st Instt forwarding for early report Deputy Accountant General's No 4760 of the 20th Idem, requesting to be informed of the authority and grounds on which the Jaghirdar is allowed the enjoyment of the Still-head duty on spirits sold in the Jagheer, and in reply beg to state that there was a Still in the Jaghir from time immemorial, and when the Sudder Distillery was established in Palhalli, all the other Stills in the District were closed, and to compensate the Jaghir for this loss, the revenue from the Still-head duty and the sale of liquor in the Jagheer was allowed to be credited to the Jaghir revenue. The same system has ever since been kept up, and there is no change in the procedure newly introduced.

I have &c

Signed P. N. Krishna Murti

Jagheerdar of Yelandur

"Copy

Deputy Commissioner

A&D Registered No. 49
187 6.

From

The Deputy Accountant General
in Mysore

To The Dy. Commr & Ex. Officio Jt. Magte Dist.

Dated 30th June

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO. Nil

General No. 1703 } 187 .
No.

This office No. 4760, 5754 and 429 dated the 8th January, 7th March and 28th April 1876 respectively

---

The undersigned has the honor to request an immediate reply to the above —

H. D. [illegible]

No. 429 44 A.D Register

1876.

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To

The Dy Commr Inspr

Dated 28th

Despatched

Received April

ENCLOSURES. Nil

His office Nos 4760 and 754 of the 8th January and 5th March 1876 respectively

The undersigned has the honor to request an early reply to H. Excellency's letter

Nil

Dy

Copy forwarded to Inspr Genl of Police

No. 5754 1876.

From

Deputy Accountant General.

To The Dy Commr Engrs

ıted 7th

spatched March

ceived

ENCLOSURES.

This office No 4760
of the 8th May 1876

Has the honor to
Request an early
Reply to the above.

Dy

No. 4.76 & D Cutch 12/1

1876

From

Deputy Accountant General. of Mysore

To

The Dy Commissioner Mysore

Dated 18th "

Despatched

Received January

ENCLOSURES. 2

Docket-No 4386 of 76th December 1875 with statement to Dy officer Mysore

Do No 2748 of 20th December 1875 from Dy officer Mysore

In forwarding Copies of the docket mentioned I am directed to request the favour to enquire whether the sum of Rs 42 referred to in para 3 payable to the Cutcherdar of Yellandur as granted on the

by the Secretary
Officers of the
Tyrone District

No. 274

1875

From The Officer in Charge of the Treasury Mysore
~~Deputy Accountant General.~~

To The Deputy Accountant General of Mysore

Dated 20th December

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES.

Your No 4 ...
of the 7th Instant.
... to the honor to State
the difference of Rs. ...
pointed out in the abo
the amount of the Gri
Jaghees which appea
to have been include
in the Statement
by the Deputy Commi

E L Lewald Lt

Officer in Charge
Treas

1875 D. R. No. 12

From
The Dy: Asst: General of Mysore
To
The Treasury Officer
Dated 6th "
Despatched "
Received December

Enclosures No.

General No. 4386 } 1875
No. "

Forwarded herewith a Statement Comparative
= ment Showing the
Sums Credited in the
Treasury Account
for October last on
account of Spirit-; P
Compared with the Amo
of Sales to Vendors,
reported by the Dy:
Commissioner Mysore
in his No. 2204 of the
30th Ultimo, and

Request that
Lewis do not
the Headley
will be good enough
to explain the
discrepancy of Nos
42/—

D. & G.

Statement Shewing the Sums Credited in the
of the Mysore District for October 75 on Acct of Ghee
-pared with the Sales to Vendors Reported by the Dy Comm

Heads of Credit.	Amount
IV Abkari	
Still head Duty	5682 ½
XVIII Miscellaneous.	
Lekungapalam	
Still head Duty	448 "
Total	6,130 ½
Sale proceeds as Reported by the Dy Commissioner	
For Town of Mysore	32½ "
" Talakad	2960 ½
Total	6,172 ½
Difference	42 "

Bangalore
4th December 1875

for T.A.

Rec'd 1875.

From The Dy Commissioner
Dungarpur State

To The Dy Political Agent
of Dungarpur

Dated 30 [illegible]

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 2204 } 187

Abu dated
No. 4073 d/20th June last

Sir

In reply to the above
honour to annex a list
showing the amount of
gallons and value of liquor
issued from the Government
Store at Pallabli during
October 1875

I have &c.

for Dy Commissioner

Pallabli 4th Nov 1875

Sir

I have the honour to forward herewith a return of liquor issued from the Government Store at this place during the month of October 1875.

I have &c.

Sub Asst Commissioner

Return of liquor issued from the Government Store at Pallabli during the month of October 1875.

No.	Kind of liquor	No. of gallons	Rate per gallon	Amount	Remarks
1	Mhowra spirit	808	4 "	432 12 "	
2	Country liquor	830	3 "	249 20 "	
3	Sugar of Lead	6	" "	34 "	Issued to Bhagwan Das Kalyan Das Mahajan of Pallabli for 32 oz. at Rs. 1 per oz.
	Total	1644		715 12 "	

Sub Asst Commissioner

Register 103

1875.

The Dy Asstt Genl of Inspns

To The Dy Commissioners

Dated 18th Inspns

Despatched 20

Received — Nov.mber

Enclosures No.

General No. 4073 / No. } 1875-6

The undersigned begs the favor of being furnished with the statement for the month of October 1875 shewing the number of gallons of Spirits sold to Vendors from the Government Stores at Palkulli, during that month agreeably to para 2 of this office ctr. 4521 of 23rd Augt. 1875.

Wm. Daly

Section L.R
File No 15-889
Serial No 6.

Read:- letter dy 15 February 190
from the Jaghirdar of Yellandu
soliciting early settlement
of the payment of Arrack
Revenue due to him -
Docket no 260 dy 14th April 1890
from the Dy Com.r Mysore
Distt, submitting cor-
respondence relating to the
claim of the Yellandu Jaghir
dar for the payment of the
arrack Revenue -
Letter dy no 4798 dy 9th May
1890 from the Comptroller
in Mysore stating that no
payments made to the
Jaghirdar on account of
Arrack Revenue are re-
corded in the books of his
office up to date -

— " —

No 5325-6 dy 14-8-90
385 18

Order thereon:-

With reference to the State-
ment made in the above letter
from the Comptroller that
the Dep.ty Com.r continued the
payment of arrack revenue
inspite of repeated requests
not to make any payments
till sanction was
received

from the Chief Com^r. the Sheristadar of
who the Sharam^t was that made such
payments. ~~and that~~ That payments were
without authority but at the instance of the Revenue
Comptroller & repeated often contrary to his
orders, the Sheristadar absconded, is highly irregular.
as to whether the Zelandar
The question, ~~of the~~ Jaghirdar ~~of Yellandu's~~ has a
right to the Arrack Revenue has to be decided upon
a careful consideration of the terms of the Sunnud
granted to the Jaghirdar and of the subsequent
correspondence, if any, bearing on the subject.
The Comptroller will be requested to make no pay-
ments till the question is decided. The Jaghirdar
will be asked to submit a full and clear statement,
setting forth the grounds on which he claims the
Arrack Revenue.

15 Aug
Chief Secy

To The Comptroller —
Sharam^t.
for com^n to the Jaghirdar of Yellandu

(General Serial No. 30).

OFFICE OF THE
8040
27 NOV 90
467
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1890.

7

Section 2R
No. 15-89
Serial No. 7

From The Comptroller Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 20/11/90

Despatched 21/11/90

Received 24 November 1890

Enclosures No.

General No. 3552

No.

Inviting early replies to references made by this office

Letter from Comptroller No. 2810 dated 14th July 1890

Letter to Comptroller No. 5325-6 dated 13/11/90

Records early references as 25/11/90

Sir

I

(1) Please send copy of your letter No 2810 dated 14th July 1890 & ask the last Revised & the Financial Report for 1882-83 could be communicated returned

(2) ask the Master of Rolls to be returned any statement for the Judges Darbar & Chamber called for in the Order No 5325-6 & the Amount of Decree.

(3) Reply to the Comptroller's two letters &

7

No. 3552

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
20 NOV 90
MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 20th November 1890.

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of
Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to invite your attention to my letters noted in the margin and subsequent reminders and to request that you will be so good as to favor me with early replies thereto.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M Eagles
for Comptroller

No. 4179 dated 19th May 1890 - Regarding the non-payment to the Sagareebanda Yellapur road account of Forest Officer.
No. 12869 dated 2nd July 1890. Forwarding copy of the Financial Report for 1882-1883 and requesting its return as it is the only copy available.

2793
9813

(General Serial No. 30).

1890.

From

To The Deputy Commr
Impore Dr

Dated 13
Despatched 13 December/90
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 10108 & 10109
No. 597 / 696 /

With reference to the
over
docket No 5325-6 /
383
the 14 August 1890, ha[illegible] th
honor to enquire if he h
received from the Jaghird
of Kelaisur a statement
the grounds on which he
claims the arrack revenu
this Jahagir: and if so,
submit the same to this
office.

By

/ CL

to 10109
696 R mnd

Copy forwarded to the Com
with ref. letter No 3552 29 ct/9

(General Serial No. 301)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
11964
3 - MAR. 92
1892

189[illegible]

Section LR
File No 15-89
Serial No 9
19

From The Jaghirdar of Gelandur

To

Dated 27 Feb 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 32
No.

Stating that the revenue derived from spirituous liquors has been enjoyed both by him and his ancestors over 770 years in virtue of a deed granted to him and requesting early settlement of the question.

Recd & ans[illegible]
9-3-92

Recd
9.3.92.

Submitted. 9/3/92

No 32

(9)

Office of the Jaghirdar
of Yelandur
Dated Yelandur
27th February 1892

From

P. N. Krishna Murti Esqr
Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

Sir

Adverting to the Govt order
No. 5325-6/383 of the 14th August 1890
I have the honour respectfully to
represent that the revenue from
spirituous liquors is included
in the Jaghir Sannad. In the
calculation of the five years
revenue adopted at the time
this item derived from spirituous
liquors was taken into account.
The figures quoted in the State
ment appended to Wilks's Map
and in the correspondence which
resulted in the grant of the Jaghir
are identical. The same figures
are mentioned in the Sannad.
Accordingly this item of revenue

having been thus specifically
grie---- has been enjoyed by myself
and by my ancestors both when
the Jaghir was managed by us
directly and also when it was managed
for us by the Mysore Government.
This period extends over seventy
years. The ground on which I
claim is both an express grant
and the subsequent uninterrupted
enjoyment.

In a plain case like this I
feel somewhat at a loss to know
what these explanations I have to
submit than what I have already
placed before the Dewan. If however
any more information is wanted
I shall be prepared to wait upon
the Dewan and give him all such
information as he may be pleased
to ask for.

In conclusion I beg for an early
settlement of this question as this same
has been pending for some years.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient humble servant
Venkatachalapathi
Jaghirdar of Yelandur

(General Serial No. 30) NO. 530

CAMP Bangalore

DATE 14-3-92

CAMP OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

189

10

Section LR

File No 15-89

Serial No 14

From P.N. [illegible] Esqr.
Yelandur

To

Dated 27th February 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Submitting certain representations in re Toddy and Arack Revenue payable to the Jaghire.

(10)

Colaiadur

27th February 1892

My dear ...,

I trust you will pardon
me for writing this to remind
you of the reference which are
pending regarding the toddy
and arrack revenue payable
to this Zaptie.

During your absence in
Courtallam Mr. Thambu Chetty
passed an order by the Comptroller
that the arrack money shd be pai
as the matter had been decided
with reference to the accused, and the
order went. No further than re-
iterating my complaint that the
money had been withheld. I
have sent an official representation

in the matter which I trust will be soon placed before you and will receive your generous and early consideration. As you will see the revenue derived from Spirituous liquors is included in the assets calculated on and conveyed away by this Summad I have enjoyed the revenue from as far back as the accounts are traceable and all that I ask for now is the removal of the impediment which was thrown unnecessarily in my way by the Dy Ad Genl and which has kept me out of my dues for the last several years In a matter which is so wholly without my control all that I can do is to beseech you earnestly to give my hard case your favorable and generous consideration. I claim the revenue in proportion to the quantity of liquor consumed

in the Jaghir means the cost of preparation and departmental organisation.

The other subject refers to the toddy revenue. The papers are all pending in your office even there I ask for nothing more than what I and my ancestors have been allowed to enjoy and have been enjoying as ours. Till the previous policy was departed the revenue due to the Jaghir was shared by the rule of proportion with reference to the rest of the district and the Contractor was made to execute a separate Mochulka and pay the money to the Jaghir. This practice had to be discontinued as in consequence of the Govt. notice calling for tenders Yelandur was included and therefore I could only look to Govt

for the money due to the Japan
I do not wish to take up your
time by a reiteration of the ar
guments used in my office letter
from a to which you will
find that I ask for nothing m
than a continuance of the pres
usage and for the proportional
amount being paid home.
I beg you will be pleased to
consider this question generously
and pass early orders.

I assure you that in this matter
I am weighed down by a heavy
sense of responsibility and if I
leave no permanent marks of
improvement who remembered by
those who are destined with
the Japan after me I am anxious
that they shd not find it impossi

in any respect in my time
without your generous sympa-
and active help I am unable
to do any thing and I trust you
will pardon my troubling you
in this matter and pass early
orders regarding the back arrear

You will have also to decide
what is to be done with refer to
the Jagir in future. Now that
the Jagir has to be leased out
separately unconnected with
other Talukas of the Mysore State
my first impulse is to ask
you to allow me to deal with it
separately after you defining
and setting apart the Tobes in
the Channagiri Taluq which
have been heretofore supplying
and are hereafter to supply

of the Jaghir. These lotes may if you like be leased permanently to the Jaghir. or if you prefer it I must take the lease of the chan-
-rajnagar Taluq I avoid all trouble and difficulty which may be raised in respect of the Jaghir Lotes. I cannot however conceal from you that this latter course will not be free from unnecessary inconvenience my responsibility being in proportion extended I shall feel obliged by your kindly considering this question and favouring me with a line of advice for my guidance. I am aware I am giving you much trouble when you are otherwise very busy but I must rely

forgiveness from you generous
good nature as the occasion is
very important one. The
the Jaffna boddy is to be abl
on the 19th Inst and a decis
has to be arrived at upon that
date.

There are several other matte
which require to be settled in
connection with the Jaffna bu
I have selected the two most
pressing items for being laid
upon you in this letter.

I was sorry not to have
been able to see you as I wa
pulmic feverish and could n
stir out of my house. I mean
to stop here till about the end
of this month. In this visit
I had the Sabbaticon of seei
a temple which though ancient

was almost newly built during
the last three or four years com-
pleted at a cost of about £2000
£. This is an agaria. I have
transferred the same work
men repair & restore a Sin
Pentu... in Yelandur which
is also reputed to be very ancient
a good substantial stone
chattram has also been
on this line at a cost of
than ten thousand Rupees.
A great deal has yet to be done
but there are a good many
local difficulties and deb
is becoming plain to me
that the time and attention
I have been able to devote

3/

both Jaffna are very much
less than what it requires.
I will reserve the rest for a
personal representation an
conclude this note with an
earnest request that you wi
honour me with a visit to th
place at your convenien
you need not spend mor
than three days for a brief s
this place but you must gi
me a fortnight's previous
intimation. May God b
pleased to bestow this favo
and honour upon me ere
long. I am

with best regards
Yours very Sincerely
Miles Lina Hurte

Mr Register
2 Payments
Separately the
Representations
in the two subjects
Hong in pieces
soon
9/13

Sir
1 & 2 attended to
14/13

(General Serial No. 30)

DEPARTMENT OF MYSORE
122922
14 MAR. 92
345
CHIEF SECRETARIES

189

Section SR
File No 15-69
Serial No 11

From The Jahagirdar of Yellandur

To

Dated 10th March 1892.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 35.
No.

Regarding Forwarding

Making some representation about the Salaq Arrack Shops in the Jaghir of Yellandur &c

V. Kaidon

Submit to Chf Secy today with the references.

Mysk 14/3/92

1891 —

No. 35

From.

The Jaghirdar of Yelandur.

To. The Chief Secretary to Govt of My Lore

Dated. 10th March 92

Despatched. 11.3.92

Enclosures. None

Rec'd 13/3

Makes some representation about the sale of arrack shops in the Jaghir of Yelandur and solicits that the old usage of the Jaghir enjoying the revenue thereof may not be interfered with on

Office of the Jaglieden of
Yelandur
Bangalore 10th March 1892

(11)

From

P. N. Krishna Murti Esqre
Jaglieden of Yelandur

To

T. Ananda Rao Esqre
Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

Sir,

Referring to the Govt Notn No.
453 of 22nd February 92 published in
the Extraordinary issue of the Mysore
Gazette of the 28th idem and notify
the sale of the monopoly toward arra
I have the honor respectfully to
to the Kuri [illegible] tee of the Diwan tha
the Yelan [illegible] aglai also has been
cluded b[illegible] the area where the
new arrangeme[illegible] to come to
My attention [illegible] on to this hon
when the copy of the Gazette refere
to was received by me and as the
sale of the circle comprising the Jagli
was advertised to take place on the 8th
instt upon the Dy Comr of Mysore
could not make this representation
in time so as to enable the Diwan to
consider it and decide any change
the settled arrangement. The quest

of arrack revenue payable to the Jaghir is now upon Govt and I have no doubt the Dewan will be graciously pleased to pass early orders about it. In the meanwhile I beg to represent that during the time the Shops used to be sold and till such practice was discontinued the proceeds of such sales were credited to the revenues of the Jaghir. The Shops used to be licensed by me and the fees realised on them were also credited to the Jaghir. Altho as a matter of convenience the sales were advertised to be, and have been, I presume, held upon the Dy Coms of Nuggar yet I trust that it is not the intention of Govt to interfere with the usage which was long obtaining in this matter and my control over and the right to this item of the Jaghir revenue. In conclusion I respectfully beg that the Dewan will be pleased to take this case into his kind and early consideration and pass orders at an early date.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most Obt. humble
Servant

William Lushington
Collector of Malabar

(General Serial No. 30)



12

Section SR
File No 15-89
Serial No 1

Rep 28 Jan

From P. A. [illegible]
Jaghirdar of Gelle

To

Dated 10 March/92

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Requesting favorable orders being passed regarding the arrack revenue of the Gellandra Jaghir and stating that the toddy question also requires settlement.

(12)

My dear

I beg you will pardon me for writing again about the arrival of the Islander at Jaffna. I enclose copy of an official letter which I have sent today to the Chief Secretary and it explains the reason for my now writing on the subject. Without unnecessarily lengthening this letter I simply repeat my grievance that I have

been long kept out of
arrack money which is
due to the Japurá and
I rely upon your generosity
and Kindness for favourable
orders being passed early
The Boldy Yankee also
requires settlement and
if you will be pleased to
decide a couple of hours
to these papers I have
every assurance that
you will be convinced
of the justice of my cause
and grant my prayer
With best regards
Yours

Yours very sincerely.
[illegible]

Bangalore
10. 3. 92

K. Sheshadri Iyer Esqre C.S.I.
Dewan of Mysore

Office of the Jaghirdar of
Yelandur

Bangalore 10th March
1892

From

Rao Bahadur Narasimha Rao Bahadur
Jaghiredar of Yelandur

To.

Rao Bahadur Seshadri Rao Bahadur
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir

Referring to the Government Notification No 453 of 22nd February 92 published in the Extraordinary issue of the Mysore Gazette of the 28th Idem and notifying the Sale of Monopoly to Vend Arrack I have the honour respectfully to bring to the Kind notice of the Dewan that the Yelandur Jaghire

also has been included within the area where the new arrangement is to come to force. My attention was drawn to this notification when the Copy of the Gazette referred to was received by me and as the Sale of the Circle Comprising the Jaghire was advertised to take place on the 8th Instt before the Daputy Commissioner of Mysore I could not make this representation in time so as to enable the Dewan to Consider it and direct any change in the Settled arrangement. The Question of arrack revenue payable to the Jaghire is now before Government and I have no doubt the Dewan will be graciously

pleased to pass early orders about
it. In the meanwhile I beg to re-
present that during the time the Shops
used to be sold and till such pratice
was discontinued the proceeds of Such
Sales were credited to the revenues of
the Jaghire. The Shops used to be
licensed by me and the fees realised
on them were also credited to the
Jaghire although as a matter of
Convenience the Sales were advertised
to be, and have been, I presume held
before the Deputy Commissioner of
Mysore. Yet I trust that it is not
the intention of Government to inter-
fere with the usage which was long
obtaining in this matter and my
Control over and the rights to this
item of the Jaghire revenue. In

Conclusion I respectfully beg that the Dewan will be pleased to take my case into his kind and early Consideration.

early date.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient & humble
Servant
/Sd/ P. N. Krishna Murti
Jaghiedar of Yelandur

4 Cunningham Road
Bangalore 4th May
1893.

(14)

My dear Sir,

I am very circum-
-stances leave me no
other alternative than
a bore to you - and I can
only rely upon your good
and kind nature to for-
-give me for this intrusion.
The subject matter is my
oft. repeated cry for my
wobbly and wrack money
of the Yelandur Zaghir-
although you have been
pleased burden the tray-

ment of the Wddy money
Yet I have not had the
good fortune of receiving
a pie. Nor is it possible
to know what has been
done in the recent sales
I doubt not but that you
will concede that I have a
valid grievance when the
items of revenue which
I used to realise and credit
during a period of more
than fifty ~~xx~~ years have
been not only withheld
from me, but I have also
been deprived of managing
my own concern by myself

advantage - I am
copy of a communication
which was on my table
when I returned from
Madrid where I had been
on a short trip. My Secretary
has been upset for several
times and you journey
had to calculate the revenue
of the Jaffa when the Jaffa
Regulation was passed.
I do not know what
further enquiry has been
ordered or required. What
ist according to your advice
you know more about the
Jaffa than any other I
am sure you will realize

my hardship and grant me justice and redress if you will spare a few minutes to look into the papers I again repeat — Be merciful & generous and continue the noble policy of your British Predecessors in protecting and maintaining this State Gift.

I trust you are enjoying your stay on the Hill and my daughter & Mrs are in the enjoyment of perfect health. I am leaving this for Yelanderi tomorrow & shall be back by the time the Court reopens. The heat here does not seem to have

abated, but the health
of the lady is said to be
somewhat better.

With my best respects

I am

Yours very sincerely

William Lee Warner

Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer
K.C.S.I.

My dear Councillor

I thought the Town has been settled a day ago. What is the delay about? Is there any reason to be a free Region Council pending - Please speak one about this matter on Tuesday -

Yr very sincerely

7/5

92-1463-5
CR 2177
Jhcrs

(14)

From the Deputy Govt
of Mysore —

Forcing the enquiry into
the claim for bodily &
other abkaree rents of
the Jaghire on the 1st
Inst

Delivered on the 29th
& read by me on the

ಸಿದ್ದಾಪುರಕೆ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮದ ರಸ್ತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಜರಾಬಾದ ಕೋಟೆಯ

ದಾಖಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವಂತೆ ಹಾಲೇರಿ ತಾಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ೧೧ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು

ಸೇರಿದ್ದು ಇವುಗಳ ಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರಿಗೆ

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಈ 20-4-93 ನೇ ಸಾಲು—

/Sd/ J. W. Knight

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

J. No
160

15

Bangalore
9th May 1893.

Section SR 89
File No 15-89
Serial No 14

My dear Mr. Krishna Murti,

I have duly re-
-ceived your letter of the
4th inst. regarding the
Toddy and the Arrack Re-
-venue.

As to the Toddy Re-
-venue, my Chief Secretary
has sent you copy of the
Government order No. 1463 3-
dated 1st March last in
which it has been ordered
that pending the result-
of the enquiry which has
been ordered into the
right of holders of alienated

villages generally to the
Toddy Revenue of their
villages, the Toddy Revenu
of the Yelandur Jehagir
should be paid to you re
gularly every quarter. I
have no doubt that no
further order is necessary
and that your Amildar
has only to remind the
Deputy Commissioner to
obviate any delay which
may have occurred in
the Deputy Commissioner
Office. - The Chief Secretar
has also written D.O. to
the Deputy Commissioner

I return the enclosu

of your letter. Personally
I am satisfied that the
Jahajire is entitled to the
Toddy Revenue. But you
can easily understand
how in pursuance of the
general order of Govern-
-ment it is necessary, and
in your own interests ex-
-pedient that you should
cause the Sannad or an
authenticated copy of it
to be shown to the Deputy
Commissioner so that he
may satisfy first him-
-self, and then the Govern
-ment, with all the neces
formalities in due course
of official procedure, that
the Jahajire is entitled

to the Toddy Revenue. It
is a very simple matter
and not likely to give
trouble to anybody.

As to the Arrack Revenue,
the question is more dif-
ficult and complicated,
if by nothing else at least,
by the great length of
time during which you
have refrained from
raising the question. I
s easy to dispose of the
laim by urging this
saying that you have
not proved your case.
But with every disposition
to examine the case, the
correspondence of the days
of Mr Bowring and Mr

up and I hope to be able
to discuss the subject with
you on my return from
Ootacamund and your
return from Yelandur.